

From glowbugs@theporch.com Fri Jul 19 11:44:54 1996
Return-Path: glowbugs@theporch.com
Received: from uro (localhost.theporch.com [127.0.0.1]) by uro.theporch.com
(8.7.5/AUX-3.1.1) with SMTP id LAA07341; Fri, 19 Jul 1996 11:40:59 -0500 (CDT)
Date: Fri, 19 Jul 1996 11:40:59 -0500 (CDT)
Message-Id: <199607191640.LAA07341@uro.theporch.com>
Errors-To: ws4s@midtenn.net
Reply-To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Originator: glowbugs@theporch.com
Sender: glowbugs@theporch.com
Precedence: bulk
From: glowbugs@theporch.com
To: Multiple recipients of list <glowbugs@theporch.com>
Subject: GLOWBUGS digest 240
X-Listprocessor-Version: 6.0c -- ListProcessor by Anastasios Kotsikonas
X-Comment: Please send list server requests to listproc@theporch.com
Status: 0

GLOWBUGS Digest 240

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) subscribe
by donh@wcsohw1.cup.hp.com
- 2) Re: Could there be a 1-tube superhet? (reflex amp)
by mjsilva@ix.netcom.com (michael silva)

Date: Thu, 18 Jul 96 14:58:16 PDT
From: donh@wcsohw1.cup.hp.com
To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Subject: subscribe
Message-ID: <9607182158.AA04990@wcsohw1.cup.hp.com>

subscribe

Date: Fri, 19 Jul 1996 06:50:54 -0700
From: mjsilva@ix.netcom.com (michael silva)
To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Subject: Re: Could there be a 1-tube superhet? (reflex amp)
Message-ID: <199607191350.GAA22272@dfw-ix10.ix.netcom.com>

>I think one of the big, common Ghirardi or Terman
>tomes talks about this. The conclusion is that there
>is a performance handicap, so only use it where tube

>count is a requirement. Still, it sounds like an
>interesting idea, and the whole concept boggles
>my feeble mind. RF and IF through the same chain. Yeek!
>How do they DO that, Daddy?

Desperation is a mother, don'cha know? An interesting new book that talks about reflexes and other '20s receiving circuits and equipment is titled "Behind the Front Panel". I got my copy from Antique Electronic Supply (you all have their catalog, right? 602-820-5411). Very interesting reading on how Grandpa's radios worked, using tubes with too little gain and too much feedback. I especially liked the story about the one company that got around Hazeltine's neutrodyne patents (on neutralizing the grid-plate feedback) by strategically placing their components to "invisibly" achieve the same result. When they were sued they responded "Neutralizing circuitry? What neutralizing circuitry?".

73,
Mike, KK6GM

End of GLOWBUGS Digest 240
